workers at the beginning of their work shift (on Monday), and at the beginning and end of their work shift (on Friday). The geometric mean air concentration was 0.17 $\mu g/m^3$ (GSD, 5.35 $\mu g/m^3$; range, 0.02–1.5 $\mu g/m^3$). Geometric mean creatinine levels were as follows: pre-shift Monday, 0.63 $\mu g/g$ (GSD, 0.53 $\mu g/g$; range, 0.23–2.9 $\mu g/g$); pre-shift Friday, 0.95 $\mu g/g$ (GSD, 0.94 $\mu g/g$; range, 0.25–4.8 $\mu g/g$); and post-shift Friday, 0.91 $\mu g/g$ (GSD, 1.38 $\mu g/g$; range, 0.16–7.7 $\mu g/g$) (Giancilo et al., 1998).

2. Cancer in Humans

2.1 Introduction

A large number of case reports dating to the late 19th and early-to-mid-20th centuries raised suspicions that workers in various industries with exposure to chromium compounds, including chromate production, production of chromate pigments and chromium plating may be at risk of developing various cancers (Newman, 1890; Pfell, 1935; Teleky, 1936; LARC, 1990). Beginning in the mid-20th century, cohort studies were undertaken in these industries as well as in some other occupations and industries with potential exposure to chromium compounds, such as ferrochromium or stainless steel production, welding, leather tanning, and some others. By the 1980s considerable evidence had accumulated on cancer risks of chromium-exposed workers, and leading to the identification of chromium (VI) compounds as a human carcinogen (LARC, 1920).

The strongest evidence presented at the time concerned the lung. There was weaker and less consistent evidence of effects on gastrointestinal sites, mainly stomach, and some reports of excess risks at several other organs, such as pancreas, prostate and bladder. Furthermore, there were some case reports and small clusters of nasal or sinonasal cavity cancers in workers exposed

to chromium (VI). Based on the review of the previous IARC Monograph, and on a subsequent review of relevant epidemiological evidence accumulated since then, the Working Group focused the current review on those sites for which the evidence indicates possible associations with chromium (VI) compounds, namely: lung, nose, and nasal sinus. Because of recent controversy regarding possible effects on stomach cancer (Proctor et al., 2002; Beaumont et al., 2008), the Working Group also reviewed relevant evidence for this organ. For other organs, the number of reports of excess risks is unremarkable in the context of the numbers of studies that have been conducted, and thus they have not been reviewed.

There have been at least 50 epidemiological studies that could be informative about cancer risks related to chromium (VI). Many of the studies have given rise to multiple reports; sometimes these simply represent follow-up updates, but often the different reports also present different types of analyses of subgroups or of case-control analyses within a cohort. Only a minority of the studies contain documented measurements of chromium (VI) exposure, particularly measurements that pertain to the era of exposure of the workforce that was investigated. It was therefore necessary to select and present the evidence according to the availability of relevant exposure information. The studies were triaged into the following categories:

- Cohort studies in industries in which workers were highly likely to have been exposed at relatively high levels. This included workers in chromate production, chromate pigment production, and chromium electroplating.
- Cohort studies in which workers were possibly exposed to relatively high levels but not with the same degree of certainty or concentration as those in category a. This included stainless steel welders.
- Other studies in which workers may have been exposed to chromium (VI), but with lower likelihood or lower frequency or lower